

**CRIMINAL INVESTIGATOR TRAINING PROGRAM
DURING THE PERIOD
10/01/02 TO 03/31/03**


Number of Students Responding

**FY02: 1773
Mid Year FY03: 1014**

Updated 6/16/03


FACULTY SUPPORT

1. The Program Specialist, Training Technician, or Faculty Advisor explained what was expected of students during the program.




The negative percentages, all of which are presented in salmon, represent the students who were less than satisfied with a particular area of the program.

14. If requested, did you receive satisfactory academic counseling?




PROGRAM ORGANIZATION

2. The courses in this program were presented in the right order.




15. Where there was overlap in content among courses, did the courses agree on proper concepts, principles, or techniques?




PRACTICAL EXERCISES


4. Practical exercises were helpful to my learning.




5. The conduct of role players during graded practical exercises was appropriate.



6. Instructors' critiques were beneficial.




7. Practical exercises were graded fairly.




WRITTEN EXAMINATIONS


8. Exam questions were clear and understandable.




9. Questions tested the course objectives.



10. After completion of exams, the procedure for informing students of correct answers was satisfactory.




11. The time allowed for completion of written exams was:




LEARNING SUPPORT


3. The written handout materials issued to me were helpful.



17. Rate the learning difficulty of this program.




18. Rate the reading level of student texts and handout materials.



OVERALL RATINGS

19. Overall, I believe the quality of the training I received in this program was:



20. Overall, I believe the quality of the instructors who presented the training in this program was:

